

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,628	09/26/2003	Craig Squillante	6025P2715	8077	
23504 75	590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER		
WEISS & MOY PC			THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, GREGORY D	
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹· ₽					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/672,628	SQUILLANTE, CRAIG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Gregory D. Thompson	2835			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	September 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)⊠ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

Application/Control Number: 10/672,628

Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 20, 20A, 20B, 18A, 32A, 32B, 33, 36A, 36B to just pint out a few examples. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 31. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,628 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: language of Freon storage device in claims 7, 13, 15 and language of molding compound in claims 5, 12, 16 not supported by the disclosure.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lin.

Lin discloses a cooling system for a computer case comprising a thermoelectric module 5a,a first fan heat sink 7 coupled to the hot side of the module 5 for dissipating heat from the hot side and a second fan heatsink 6 coupled to the cold side of the module for dissipating cool air from the heat sink 6 and cold side of module 5.

Regarding claim 5, the molding (broad term) coupled to sink 6 is considered metal casing 61 since casing would allow the circulation of air around the structure of sink 6.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Doke et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

Doke discloses a cooling system for a container, box or other type of enclosed structure in col. 1, lines 5-9 which could be a computer case since the language of for in line 1 of claim 1 renders an intend use of the cooling system and the cooling system of Doke could be used in a computer case. The cooling system consist of thermoelectric module or device 32 with an insulating plate (broad term) layer 42 positioned around 32 to separate hot and cold side as seen in figs. 3 and 4. The first fan heat sink coupled by plate 36 to the hot side of device 32 is 40 with the fan 64, 60 coupled to sink 40. The second fan heat sink coupled by plate 38 to the cold side is 50 with the second fan 66 couple (broad term) by the air flow between sink 50 and fan 66 for dissipating the cool air from the second sink 50 and cold sink of module 32.

- 6. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 9-14 are allowed.
- 8. The art does not teach nor suggest a Freon cooler coupled to the second fan unit, spray mechanism coupled to the storage device, ducting coupled to the Freon cooler to direct cooler air to a processor unit as claimed in respective claims 6-14.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ju, O'Neill, Nagy et al and Meir disclose thermoelectric cooling.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thompson Gregory whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/672,628 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

(571)272-2045. The examiner can normally be reached on (571)272-2045 from 6:ooam to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached on (571)272-2800,ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thompson/ds

06/25/05

Gregory Thompson

Morpho